

Types of Errors

- **Static** errors (detected before program runs) fall into 2 categories:
 - **Lexical** errors: detected by scanner – caused when tokens cannot be properly scanned.
 - Invalid character in program
 - Badly formed token
 - EOF in the middle of a token
 - **Syntax** errors: detected by parser – caused when a program does not follow the grammatical structure of the language
 - Expect a token and get a different one
 - Expect one of many non-terminals & don't get any
 - EOF in the middle of a production
 - **Semantic** errors: detected by semantic analyser – caused when a program does not follow the semantics of the language.

Since programmers don't care about the difference, they are often simply all called syntax errors.

- **Dynamic** errors = **runtime** errors are detected when the program runs
- Examples: identify the type of error:
 - EOF reached before the end of a string →
 - Wrong sequences of types in list of function call parameters →
 - else does not match any if →
 - Invalid characters in program →
 - Missing ";" at the end of statement →
 - Type errors →
 - Name-matching problems (e.g function f() ... end g; →
 - float x = 0.2Eb; →
 - Array index out of range →
 - Identifier used outside of its scope →
 - ; used to separate function parameters instead of , →
 - Division by 0 →

Components of Error Management

Error Prevention

Integrated Development Environments:

- Syntax directed editors can provide matching elements (e.g. closing ifs, loops, functions or intermediate delimiters such as *then, to*, etc.)
- Editor can also perform semantic error prevention: (e.g. enforcing function call definitions.)

Error Detection

Compiler/Interpreter will detect non-compliance & throw an exception

Error Reporting

Programmers (users) will want to know

- Where mistake happened
- What was expected
- What was received instead
- Why this is a mistake
- How it can be fixed
- Optionally: how program recovered from error.

Error Recovery

1) Compilers: when static errors are detected

- Try to recover in order to detect as many errors as possible
- Stop after a fixed number of errors
 - Because: error recovery could introduce new phantom errors which are not necessarily in the original program.
- Do not generate code

2) Interpreters: consist of a parse-eval loop

- Evaluation errors: stop evaluation and parse next structure
- Parsing errors: try to recover to continue parsing
 - Must be able to detect where to restart, i.e. what should be thrown out

3) Integrated Development Environments:

- Propose solutions to user and have them confirm change.
- Debuggers can also support user-led investigation of and recovery from run-time errors by letting users step through program and try possible changes.

Parsing Error Recovery Strategies:

- Purpose: recover enough to be able to continue detecting more errors without introducing more errors in the process.
- All strategies are heuristics: solutions are not perfect, and not guaranteed to be correct but usually produce reasonable results.
- Strategy depends on type of error & where it occurs in the grammar.
 - **Shallow** error recoveries deal with input stream of tokens only without touching production stack
 - **Deep** error recoveries also pop production stack.

Shallow error recovery strategies:

- Obvious typos: Replace found token by expected token
 - E.g. $f(a, b ; c)$ – replace by $,$

Note: token not really replaced. Instead alternate production is listed as acceptable but tagged as an error.

- Missing token: Insert missing token or placeholder
 - E.g. $if (condition) \dots$
 - E.g. $a = a + b$

Note: token not really inserted. Instead pop token from production stack. (it is considered a shallow recovery because it can be thought of as working with the stream of tokens)

- Panic Mode: Throw out all tokens until a good one is found from a set of **synchronizing tokens**.
 - E.g. things go wrong in the middle of parsing RHS of an assignment:
 - $ASSIGN \rightarrow identifier = SUM ;$
 - $SUM \rightarrow identifier SUMMAND$
 - $SUMMAND \rightarrow + identifier SUMMAND | \epsilon$
 - Parse $a = b * c * d ;$
 - After reading b , trying to parse $SUMMAND ;$ is next token on stack
 \rightarrow Throw out all tokens until you reach $;$

Some heuristics to pick set of synchronizing tokens for non-terminal A:

- Skip to an element of $follow(A)$ & throw A out.
- Skip to an element of $first(A)$ & try to reparse A

Deep error recovery strategies:

- Pop stack until a reasonable production is found
 - E.g. Find an **if** even though previous statement is not finished
 - throw out what you were doing & start fresh new statement.

How to find reasonable non-terminal on stack?

Find a non-terminal A s.t. token \in First(A)

- Combine both
 - E.g. things go wrong in the middle of parsing RHS of an assignment:
identifier = RHS ;
 - RHS complicated expression, gets confused & not working
 - Know that there is no **;** in expressions
 - Pop stack until **;** is on top
 - Throw out tokens until reach **;**
 - Continue from there.